

New-York

VOL. LIII. . . . NO. 16,949.

DEBATING HOME RULE.

MEMBERS CROWD THE HOUSE TO LISTEN TO CHAMBERLAIN'S ATTACK.

THE BILL, HE SAYS, WOULD PROVE ONLY A TEMPORARY SETTLEMENT—A GREAT DANGER FOR A SMALL GAIN—JUSTIN MCGARRY, SIR GEORGE TREVELyan AND OTHERS REPLY.

London, April 10.—There was a thin attendance in the House of Commons to-day when discussion was resumed on the motion for the second reading of the Irish Home Rule bill. The first speaker was Herbert W. Paul, Liberal member for South Edinburgh. Mr. Paul's remarks did not fill the benches, and it was not until Joseph Chamberlain arose that the members hurried in and the House assumed an aspect of interest and attention.

Mr. Chamberlain said that the bill proposed to establish a brand-new constitution for Ireland.

The Prime Minister asked them when the controversy would be settled if the measure should be rejected. The whole question really was, Would the bill settle the controversy? Was not Mr. Gladstone in too great a hurry, and he too impatient to deal with the Irish difficulty? A new era had opened for Ireland with the establishment of the Irish Church. Then the agrarian question had been partly settled. Time ought to be given to allow for the beneficial operation of those other measures, but the Prime Minister said that Ireland was still discontented, and that no progress toward conciliation had been made.

If such was the case, then the predictions of the Prime Minister regarding the measures he had already promised for Ireland had proved fallacious, and threw discredit on similar predictions in the present measure giving a separate Legislature to Ireland.

Probably, added Mr. Chamberlain, the people of England would accept the bill if they believed that it would enable them to get rid of the Irish question ("Hear! Hear!"), but he feared it would do nothing of the kind. Mr. Gladstone had disregarded the rights of the minority and treated the most influential and prosperous section of the Irish people with contempt. He proposed to subject that portion of the Irish people to such legislative conditions as would wreck their industries and expose the whole country to financial ruin. Not Ireland alone but England equally had reason to dread the results of the measure.

The whole of the property class, whatever their religion, were opposed to the bill. Had the Prime Minister, he asked, even known any State to succeed when the Government was opposed by a majority of the classes owning property? Even the Nationalists, in accepting the measure, did not admit that it was a finality.

He challenged the Irish leaders to say whether they accepted the principles of the bill affording the veto of the Crown on advice of the British Ministry and preventing the Irish Parliament from dealing with the external trade.

Were these taken as final, or were the financial clauses rendering Ireland liable to increased taxation for war and other purposes connected with Imperial policy accepted as final? There was absolutely nothing final about the bill. As soon as an Irish Parliament should be formed they would require it to be patched up again. ("Hear! Hear!") Did anybody consider the so-called safeguards in the bill worth anything? If the Irish majority determined to disregard them? True, the British Parliament could enforce them by civil war, but not otherwise. (Conservative cheer.)

The only safeguard the Government had, continued Mr. Chamberlain, was the good feeling and the generosity of the Irish leaders and people, and if the Government had the courage of their convictions they ought to sweep away the so-called safeguards in the bill. But probably Mr. Gladstone still suspected the good intentions of the men to whom he was manoeuvring to give the government of Ireland. These were the men about whom he formerly said that they preached the gospel of plunder, and were marching through rapine to the dismemberment of the Empire. The present Chancellor of the Exchequer had denounced them as preaching the doctrines of treason and murder. The present Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster had said that if the police should be placed in the hands of an elective body the landlords might whistle for their rents, and be glad if they escaped with a whole skin; and the present Secretary for Scotland had once declared that he would rather remain a private citizen throughout his life than consent to confide the lives and liberties of a law-abiding population to a Parliament composed of such men. These were the utterances of four Ministers who now led the way in proposing to establish such a Parliament. (Conservative cheer.)

He would not say that Ireland, if England should be engaged in war, would take sides against England, but it was possible that the sympathy of the Irish might be with England's opponent, thereby exposing England to the risk of a simultaneous civil and foreign war. Mr. Gladstone now professed boundless faith in the Irish people, but it was a faith of recent growth. They were asked to stake the honor and dignity and life of the Nation on the assurance that a miracle would be wrought, changing the hearts of men and altering the springs of human action. The danger was too great, and the possible gain too small. If the bill should pass, and they escaped disaster and disgrace, the Government would still fail to find a plausible reason for risking so much with so little corresponding advantage. (Cheers.)

Justin McCarthy, leader of the anti-Parnellites, ridiculed Mr. Chamberlain's prophecies of disaster. The Irish people, he said, hailed the bill as a pledge that their aspirations would be satisfied. They would accept it as a message of lasting peace. Predictions that they would misuse it to foment discord and disorderly could be made honestly only by those mistaking the present mood of the Irish Nation. He could not say that the Irish party were quite satisfied with the financial clauses of the Home Rule bill; nevertheless they accepted the bill generally as an honest settlement of the question. (Cheers.) As far as the Irish party could foresee, it might prove a final settlement. If the bill were carried the Prime Minister would win the undying gratitude of millions of men. (Prolonged cheer.)

William Redmond, leader of the Parnellites, said that the bill had been discussed sufficiently by the House. Nothing was to be gained by prolonging the debate, and a division was now needed to give effect to the wishes expressed by the Nation at the last general election. Mr. Redmond ridiculed the idea that Ulster had anything to fear from Catholic Ireland. If disturbances in Ireland should follow the passage of the bill, they would be due to the conduct of the Opposition leaders, who had not hesitated to excite the worst passions of both Catholics and Protestants. ("Hear! Hear!")

Sir George Trevelyan, Secretary for Scotland, who followed Mr. Redmond, made an elaborate defence of the bill. He commended Sir Michael Hicks-Beach and Mr. Chamberlain for their frankness "in at least admitting the necessity of settling the Irish question by some measure of local self-government." Both of the weightiest opponents of the bill, he said, had, in fact, admitted that, had the bill contained a guarantee of the supremacy of the Imperial Parliament, they would have accepted it. But all guarantees formerly asked by the Opposition had been conceded, notably the retention of Irish members at Westminster, which was demanded so insistently in 1886. Sir George taunted Mr. Chamberlain with inconsistency in this matter and charged the Conservative party with practising now all the arts

of obstruction which they had found so obnoxious when used by the Nationalists.

After answering in detail the arguments of the Opposition against specific provisions of the bill denouncing strongly the Ulster programme, the leading Conservative statesmen, he said, were indulging prospective justification of civil war in Ireland. He, for his part, did not fear civil war. The men who were talking so loudly of fighting were not of the fighting kind. Such interperative speeches as were made daily with the approval of Opposition leaders could not fail, however, to produce violent displays of fanaticism. It had been said that a majority of forty was too small to grant Home Rule. How large a majority, he would like to know, would be required to induce Ireland to acquiesce in the refusal of Home Rule? The relations of England could never be the same as they were before the last general election. Every vote that passed before the settlement of the question would be valid in view of the fact to avert the measure, which would surely be passed under one Government or another, perhaps just as Catholic emancipation, free trade and household suffrage were passed, by Governments which had previously refused to pass them.

AtTEMPTED MURDER OF KING CARLOS.

SHOT AT WHILE TAKING A DRIVE—THE ASSAULT IN PRISON.

London, April 10.—There was a thin attendance in the House of Commons to-day when discussion was resumed on the motion for the second reading of the Irish Home Rule bill. The first speaker was Herbert W. Paul, Liberal member for South Edinburgh. Mr. Paul's remarks did not fill the benches, and it was not until Joseph Chamberlain arose that the members hurried in and the House assumed an aspect of interest and attention.

Mr. Chamberlain said that the bill proposed to establish a brand-new constitution for Ireland.

The Prime Minister asked them when the controversy would be settled if the measure should be rejected. The whole question really was,

"Would the bill settle the controversy? Was not Mr. Gladstone in too great a hurry, and he too impatient to deal with the Irish difficulty?"

A new era had opened for Ireland with the establishment of the Irish Church. Then the agrarian question had been partly settled.

Time ought to be given to allow for the beneficial operation of those other measures, but the Prime Minister said that Ireland was still discontented, and that no progress toward conciliation had been made.

If such was the case, then the predictions of the Prime Minister regarding the measures he had already promised for Ireland had proved fallacious, and threw discredit on similar predictions in the present measure giving a separate Legislature to Ireland.

Probably, added Mr. Chamberlain, the people of England would accept the bill if they believed that it would enable them to get rid of the Irish question ("Hear! Hear!"), but he feared it would do nothing of the kind.

Mr. Gladstone had disregarded the rights of the minority and treated the most influential and prosperous section of the Irish people with contempt.

He proposed to subject that portion of the Irish people to such legislative conditions as would wreck their industries and expose the whole country to financial ruin. Not Ireland alone but England equally had reason to dread the results of the measure.

The whole of the property class, whatever their religion, were opposed to the bill. Had the Prime Minister, he asked, even known any State to succeed when the Government was opposed by a majority of the classes owning property?

THE INVENTOR OF MELINITE TO BE RELEASED FROM IMPRISONMENT.

Paris, April 10.—President Carnot has signed an order for the release of M. Turpin, the inventor of melinite, convicted of betraying secrets in connection with the manufacture of melinite, an explosive, the secret of which was owned by the Government of France. Some sensation has been created recently by the charge that exemption from punishment had been offered to Turpin by M. de Freycinet, Minister of War at the time of his trial.

Turpin's sentence was pronounced on April 10.

MOSCOW, APRIL 10.—The flogging in the European part of the Government of Peru is worse than ever before. The poor are dying by hundreds. In the smaller villages the people have ceased trying to bury all the bodies. Relatives of the dead are often too weak to provide burial for their dead, and leave the bodies lying on the roofs of the houses. Despite the severity of the law against secret societies and prohibition of the collection of funds save through the official private associations are forcing to secure food and clothes for the sufferers. These associations, although quite inadequate, give the only relief which persons can obtain. The State Committee has been dissolved, and the provincial authorities have taken no steps to reorganize it.

It is estimated that 250,000,000 pounds of grain are required to alleviate the distress and keep the peasants in food until the next harvest.

HUNDREDS DYING FROM FAMINE.

DREADFUL SUFFERINGS OF THE POOR IN RUSSIA

—PRIVATE ASSOCIATIONS GIVE THE ONLY RELIEF.

MOSCOW, APRIL 10.—The famine in the European part of the Government of Peru is worse than ever before. The poor are dying by hundreds. In the smaller villages the people have ceased trying to bury all the bodies. Relatives of the dead are often too weak to provide burial for their dead, and leave the bodies lying on the roofs of the houses. Despite the severity of the law against secret societies and prohibition of the collection of funds save through the official private associations are forcing to secure food and clothes for the sufferers. These associations, although quite inadequate, give the only relief which persons can obtain. The State Committee has been dissolved, and the provincial authorities have taken no steps to reorganize it.

TURPIN PARDONED BY M. CARNOT.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE LORD-LIEUTENANT'S PRECAUTIONS.

London, April 10.—Baron Houghton, the Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, has issued an order limiting the importation of arms and ammunition to Ireland. They must be imported only at certain ports, and all consignees must, before consignment, obtain a permit for the importation from the customs officers giving particulars of the arms consigned. The customs officials are authorized to open packages suspected of containing arms or ammunition imported contrary to the order.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.

VIENNA, APRIL 10.—Sixteen cases of cholera are reported from different parts of Galicia.

THE CHOLERA ON THE CONTINENT.

TEN MORE DEATHS AT LOIRENT—SIXTEEN CASES REPORTED IN GALICIA.

PARIS, APRIL 10.—Ten persons died of cholera yesterday in Loirent, in the Department of Morbihan, France, where the disease has caused many deaths recently.